Reports

Viscosities of Liquid Sodium and Potassium, from Their Melting Points to Their Critical Points

Abstract. The viscosities of liquid sodium and potassium were estimated up to the critical temperatures according to the method recently described for mercury. The critical absolute viscosity of sodium is 0.072 centipoise and that of potassium 0.055 centipoise, the estimated precision being plus or minus 0.01 centipoise. The critical absolute viscosities of metals are higher than those of covalent or homopolar substances of van der Waals type.

The liquid alkali metals are of interest as heat transfer media in reactor technology and in space applications. Their so-called transport properties, particularly viscosity, have been the subject of detailed studies both in this country (1-3) and in the Soviet Union (4). The viscosity of sodium has now been measured up to 1200°K and of potassium, up to 1400°K. To cover the full liquid range, viscosities must be measured over another 1600°K in the case of sodium and about 1000°K for potassium in order to reach their criti-

cal temperatures, estimated as 2800°K for Na and 2450°K for K (5). In view of the difficulties encountered in measuring properties of highly reactive liquid metals at high temperatures, and particularly at high pressures, it will probably be many more years before the entire liquid range of the alkali metals is covered experimentally and before the values for the critical viscosities can be determined directly.

On the other hand, the absolute viscosity of liquid mercury can be estimated (6) up to its experimen-

tally determined critical temperature 1733°K. It would seem ludicrous for anyone familiar with the usually rapidecrease of liquid viscosity with temperature to attempt to extrapolate rapide viscosity of any substance over a rapid of 1600° or even 1000°K. We now report, however, that in their behavior as liquids, sodium and potassium resemble mercury and represent a particularly simple case.

In a manner similar to mercury any other thermally stable liquid, the absolute or dynamic viscosity of liquid sodium or potassium has to decrease from the end of the experimental tenperature range to the critical point; ethe other hand, the viscosity of the saturated vapor of the metal, in equa librium with the liquid, increases over the entire range up to the critical point The viscosity of saturated vapor can be calculated easily, in first approximation, from simple kinetic theory; a increases proportionally to \sqrt{T} in the lower temperature range and propor tionally to T in the medium range (6) Therefore, the estimation of the critical viscosity becomes self-bracketing as one extrapolates the viscosity, η , of the liquid and the saturated vapor be yond the experimental into the uncer tain region, the range of conceivable η -values becomes very small. This is further supported by the fact that 12 $(\eta_{\text{liq}} + \eta_{\text{sat vap}})$, exactly like ½ $(D_1 +$ D_{sat vap}) in the law of rectilinear diameter for liquid densities (5, 7), is practically a straight line function of T in the critical region.

Table 1. Absolute viscosity of sodium, from melting point (371.00°K) to critical point (2800°K).

Table 2.	Absolute	viscosity	of	potassium,	from	melting	point
(336.9°K)	to critical	point (24	50°1	<).			

to critical point (2800°K).					(336.9°K) to critical point (2450°K).					
T (°K)	η (10 ⁻² poise)	(cm ³ /g)	$\eta v^{1/3}$ (10 ³ poise cm/g ^{-1/3})	1/Tv [10°g/ (cm°·°K)]	T (°K)	η (10 ⁻² poise)	v (cm ³ /g)	$\eta v^{1/3}$ (10° poise cm/g ^{-1/3})	1/Tv [10°g/ (cm°·°K)]	
	Experimental range					Experimental range				
371.00	0.690	1.078,75	7.0766	2.4987	336.9	0.560	1.20627	5.9612	2.4606	
473	.450	1.106,56	4.6544	1.9106	400	.384	1.22911	4.1134	2.0340	
573	. 340	1.135,72	3.5482	1.5366	500	. 276	1.26711	2.9866	1.5784	
673	. 278	1.166,86	2.9268	1.2734	600	. 221	1.3075	2.4166	1.2747	
773	. 239	1.200,34	2.54057	1.0776	700	. 185	1.35062	2.0450	1.0577	
873	. 212	1.236,25	2.2754	0.9266	800	.162	1.3966	1.810s	0.8949	
973	. 193	1.274,37	2.0925	.8065	900	. 147	1.44592	1.6623	. 7684	
1073	. 179	1.315,79	1.9615	.7083	1000	.132	1.49880	1.5106	. 6672	
1173	.167	1.360,54	1.8505	.6266	1100	.121	1.55569	1.4020	. 5843	
1203	. 164	1.373,62	1.8230	.6052	1200	.113	1.61707	1.3264	. 51531	
		Extrapolated	range		1300	.106	1.68350	1.2610	. 45691	
1400	0.147	1.4705	1.67	0.486	1400	. 100	1.75561	1.2064	.40687	
1600	. 134	1.5898	1.57	.393						
1800	. 123	1.7301	1.48	.321	4.600		Extrapolated			
2000	.115	1.898	1.42	.264	1600	0.092	1.9417	1.150	0.3219	
2200	. 106	2.128	1.37	.214	1800	.084	2.1739	1.085	. 2555	
2400	. 099	2,421	1.33	.172	2000	.077	2.500	1.0495	. 2000	
2600	.091	2.899	1.29	.133	2200	.071	2.941	1.015	.1546	
2700	.086	3.300	1.27	.112	2400	.062	3.922	0.975	. 1062	
2800	.069	5.714	1.23	.0626	2450	.052	5.882	0,940	.0694	

lig. 1 (left mental and

We proc correlated arements o temperature trade's so-

where A a heular liquid viscosity at volume at likelyin. A l/(vT) is

The dati dium were graph (9) fully evaluated ments of 1 proximated Lemmon ex00° to 1 up to about al. (3) experiment were estimated to 1. (5, 7).

When theen set data, it wo point and culated at experimentables—the culated at

19 MARCH